

# Beyond Numbers:

Navigating the “end-user” part of  
the AS Ecosystem

Radu Anghel / RIPE 88 AP

---



# Autonomous System Numbers

- **4.294.967.295** maximum uint32 ASNs (for simplicity, incl. reserved)
- **116.965** currently [assigned by RIRs](#)
- **75.770** (64.78%) visible in the v4 GRT ([APNIC](#))
- The **41.195** (35.22%) not visible in the GRT could be
  - v6 only networks approx. **7.000** (6%)
  - IXPs, Route Collectors (???)
  - Private networks that require unique numbering (???)
  - Abandoned (???)
- Around 20% of RIPENCC assigned ASNs are [not routed at all](#)
- Are they a scarce resource today? **NO**



# Regional Internet Registries

- [RFC1930](#) Guidelines for [...] registration of an AS (1996 –28yo)
  - Is mentioned in various documents by all the five RIRs
- [Eligibility](#) for an ASN in **APNIC** is evaluated based on **RFC1930**
  - “Requests for ASNs under these criteria will be evaluated using the guidelines described in RFC1930”
- [RIPE-679](#) ASN Assignment policies - “see **RFC1930**”
  - Policy is not clear if a request can be rejected for “**RFC1930** reasons”
  - “In order to help decrease global routing complexity, a new AS Number should be used only if a new external routing policy is required, see [RFC1930](#).”

## RFC1930 sec. 5 – do I need an AS?

- Need to exchange routing info (**BGP**) (important)
- Have multiple prefixes (less important)
- Have a **unique routing policy** (important)
  - Multihoming: required by RIPENCC, not RFC1930
  - Does a VM with multiple GRE/wireguard tunnels represent a **unique routing policy**?
  - Anycast: UDP



# Where do I get an ASN from? \* if needed

- Regional Internet Registries
  - From the (main) **region** the network operates in
  - One network can operate in multiple regions (ex. 174)
- **RIR** shopping is possible to some degree
  - Choosing the cheapest/easiest to deal with
  - This translates to “**out-of-region**” assignments
- **RIPENCC!**

# RIPE, the NCC

- Growing number of ASN requests coming from end-users
  - 2020: **50%**
  - 2021: **48,3%**
  - 2022: **55,1%**
  - 2023: **64,4%**
- End-users can be natural or juridical persons
- End-users have no contractual relationship with RIPENCC, only LIRs
- >50% of requests [come from natural persons](#)
- Registration Services are ~~not happy~~ generally happy people



# The five RIRs

- ASNs visible in the v4 GRT ([APNIC](#)):
  - RIPENCC: 28621 (**12116**)\* only one prefix announced
  - ARIN: 19162 (**6970**)
  - APNIC: 14135 (**4298**)
  - LACNIC: 11081 (**2615**)
  - AFRINIC: 1844 (**658**)
- RIPENCC wins with almost double 1-prefix ASNs vs ARIN

# ASNs are

- 16 bit numbers **NOT ANYMORE**
- 32 bit numbers **YES**
- BSNs (AHV/Personnummer/NIN/CHI/CNP/SSN) **NO**




# What are “Personal ASNs”?

- Different opinions:
  - 2021: “[Research networks](#)” with double quotes (DENOG13)
  - 2022: A way of getting [new networkers](#) interested

On a previous slide: **ASNs are not a scarce resource today**

- World population July 2023: **8.045.311.447**
- uint32: **4.294.967.295**
  - Only 53.4% of world pop. has ASNs available
  - 1 in 2 potential network engineers would have to find a different career

*Reconsider scarcity?*



# Personal ASNs

Do I need an ASN?

Generic answer: **NO**

- Unless you need one



# Personal ASNs

- **Do I need an ASN if I want to learn BGP? NO**
  - You don't need a personal train operated on the (inter)national railway to learn to be a train driver. No BYOT.
- **Do I need an ASN if I research BGP things? NO**
  - Trains are provided by NRENs and/or Universities, Schools, etc
  - [Temporary assignments](#) for academic research
  - ... and other research, if research
- Research is also conducted by non-academics. But what is research?



# ASNs

- Operating a public ASN on the Internet has global effects
  - It grants access to tools, databases otherwise not available
  - It also comes with responsibilities towards the community for keeping these functional
- Responsibilities are easily overlooked
  - There is no Internet Police
  - [Spiderman philosophy](#)



# (whois) Internet Graffiti

- “I want to change my geofeed to see if large companies take me seriously”
  - **Not valid reasons for obtaining IPs/ASNs**
  - **If others do wrong things it does not mean it is ok to also do it**
- Some \*really\* not operational “info”
  - EICAR test signatures
  - XSS
  - ...
- Graffiti can be art or vandalism
  - ASCII ART



# RIPE, the NCC, and the GDPR

- Number resources
  - ASNs
  - IP addresses
  - Phone numbers (oops, these are not assigned by RIPENCC!)
- [GDPR Legitimate interest](#)
  - “Your company/organisation has a legitimate interest when the processing takes place within a client relationship [...] to prevent fraud or to ensure the network and information security of your IT systems.”



# RIPE, the NCC

- [bgp.tools](https://bgp.tools) (manually) maintains a list of personal ASNs
  - **1390** ASNs marked as personal
  - **1291** of them are assigned by RIPENCC ([NRO combined stats](#))
  - 2022 numbers by [Evan Pratten](#) and [Emile Aben](#) (aprox. 135 difference in a few months)
- **Some natural persons have more than one ASN assigned**
  - **What are the unique routing requirements?**
- Currently racing towards 2% of visible ASNs
- Top 5 sponsoring-org: for personal ASNs:
  - See Plenary [slides](#)



# Big sponsoring-org: Business

- Business model:
  - ASN request from RIPENCC has no costs, they one time handle paperwork
  - No worries about DB accuracy
  - Additional must-have services (BGP VMs, ...)
  - Even paid “[export your routes to RIS](#)”
- Additional services are cheap
  - Affordable
  - Less complaints for small amounts
- “Small businesses” do not have **unique routing requirements**, in general



# How does this affect us?

- Directly
  - Costs of operating Registration Services translate to membership fees (**LIRs**)
- Indirectly
  - DB accuracy (**RIPENCC, everybody**)
  - Operational issues (**everybody**)
  - Data quality for actual research (**researchers**)



# What can we do to fix it?

- Easy
  - Enforce existing policies (**RIPENCC**)
  - Cleanup some of the graffiti (**ASN contacts, RIPENCC**)
  - Alternative ways of creating interest in networking (**everybody**)
- Hard
  - Adapt policies (**RIPE Community**)
  - Attach maintenance fees to ASNs at least similar to PI space (**LIRs**)
  - Alternative ways of creating interest in networking (DUP!)



# Feedback / Questions

- And, if this was not torture enough, we are looking for:
  - ASN operators (both “traditional” and “personal”)
  - LIR representatives
  - RIR people
- ... to fill in a short [survey](#)
- You can also contact me at [r.anghel@tudelft.nl](mailto:r.anghel@tudelft.nl)

